| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | Application No.                | Applicant(s)             |
|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
|  | 10/718,388                     | MILLS, TRUETT P.         |
|  | Examiner                       | Art Unit                 |
|  | Sebastiano Passaniti           | 3711                     |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>  |                                |                          |
| (1) <u>Sebastiano Passaniti</u> .  | (3)                            |                          |
| (2) <u>J. Bennett Mullinax</u> .   | (4)                            |                          |
| Date of Interview: 22 May 2006   | Time: <u>10:00AM</u>           |                          |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:   | nt's representative)           |                          |
| Part I.  |                                |                          |
| Rejection(s) discussed: None   |                                |                          |
| Claims discussed: 5, as presented in the Amenedment A/F  |                                |                          |
| Prior art documents discussed: None  |                                |                          |
| Part II.   |                                |                          |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet   |                                |                          |
| Part III.  |                                |                          |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul> |                                |                          |
|  |                                |                          |
|  |                                |                          |
|  |                                |                          |
| of farments  | •                              |                          |
| Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examinar  |                                |                          |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A  | Applicant's Representative Sig | nature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

During the interview, it was noted that claim 5, as presented in the instant amendment after final, was not presented with all of the limitations of the previous amendment of 11/17/2005. Applicant's attorney acknowledged the inadvertent error and agreed to the amendment of claim 5 to include the missing language, specifically, in Claim 5 - line 4, after "heel," and before the semicolon, the following phrase has been INSERTED: --said heel defining an upper edge and a lower edge, said upper edge and said lower edge being parallel and said heel having a height less than a height of said club head --.

Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examiner